

Annexure 3**Third Party Quality Monitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY**

Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102, Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	12-12-2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

A. PARTICULARS OF PROJECT

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh				
2	Name of the City:	Sant Kabir Nagar				
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 290 DUS under PMAY 26 th CSMC 27-09-2017				
4	Project Code:	0900752014NO				
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Mehdawal				
6	Location of the Project	Wards of ULB				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii) BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New Construction)				
8	No. of Houses in the project	290				
9	Date of Approval by SLSCMC	25/09/2017				
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share (in Lakhs)	State share (in Lakhs)	ULB/ IA share (in Lakhs)	Beneficiary share (in Lakhs)	Total (in Lakhs)
		435.0	290.0	406.5	0.0	1131.5
11	Date of sanction of 1 st installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	27-09-2017				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled 27-09-2017			Actual 12-10-2017	
14	Date of Completion	Scheduled 27-09-2018			Expected 12-10-2018	
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name) (with contact detail) :	Rajeev Kumar Abhinav Pandey				9111008975 9425300912
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Anil Kumar				7526067792
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed with Photos				
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized ?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

Innovative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory

**Annexure 3****Third Party Quality Monitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY**

Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102, Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	12-12-2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

A. PARTICULARS OF PROJECT

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh				
2	Name of the City:	Sant Kabir Nagar				
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 6 DUS under PMAY 34 th CSMC 30-05-2018				
4	Project Code:	0900752014N0				
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Mehdawal				
6	Location of the Project	Wards of ULB				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (Enhancement)				
8	No. of Houses in the project	6				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	29/05/2018				
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total
		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
		9.0	6.0	8.4	0.0	23.4
11	Date of sanction of 1 st installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	30-05-2018				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled			Actual	
		30-05-2018			14-06-2018	
14	Date of Completion	Scheduled			Expected	
		30-05-2019			14-06-2019	
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name) (with contact detail) :	Rajeev Kumar			9111008975	
		Abhinav Pandey			9425300912	
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Anil Kumar			7526067792	
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed with Photos				
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized ?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

Innovative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory



B. QUALITY ASSURANCE IN THE PROJECT

1	Whether ULB/ State have organized workshop/ training programs to educate the beneficiaries about quality, construction methods, adherence to disaster resistant technologies etc.	NO
2	Whether testing facilities to check quality of material is available?	Testing facilities are not available at sites , however it is advisable that in the premises of the mounicipal council testing facilities should be developed for the beneficiaries
3	Whether regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are being done from accredited labs also?	Not conducted
4	(i) Whether the structural Designs are approved / Proof checked by competent Authority	Not provided
	(ii) Name of the approving authority for structural design.	NA
	(iii) Whether the statutory certificate for disaster resistance design and compliance of codal provision is endorsed by the structural designer on the structural drawings fit for execution, Specially in case of multi- storied construction.	Not Required
	(iv) The work is being executed as per the approved drawings fit for execution.	NO
5	Whether the Inspection Registers, Site order book and Quality control Test registers are maintained and endorsed by the Engineer-in-charge?	No, (Instruction given to maintain the site order book, Inspection register & Quality control Test register.
6	Whether cement, steel, aggregates etc. being used in the work, got tested before use?	No (materials being purchased by beneficiary.)
7	Whether manufacturer test certificate for cement, steel, pipes etc. have been obtained with supply and records are being maintained?	NA
8	Whether Cement is free from lumps and is stacked properly to prevent contact with moisture?	Yes
9	Whether soil investigations of the site proper have been done before the structural design and soil parameters have been accounted for in the structural design/ drawings? If yes, attach copy of soil investigation report.	No, Soil investigations have been done

10	Whether centering /shuttering is checked for staging & propping, line & level, dimensions, cleaning etc. and its quality approved before each stage and record maintained.	No record have been maintained.
11	Specific control on RCC work like: mixing by full bag capacity hopper fed mixer, control of slump, placing/ compaction with vibrator. (proportioning with boxes not permitted)	Manual mixing with hand compaction
12	Whether cement register is maintained and checked at site. Comment on method of stacking.	No cement register have been maintained and the work has been carried out on petty purchases.
13	Whether concrete mix is nominal or design mix? (Nominal mix not permitted for quality concrete).	Nominal mix has being used
14	What is the Mode of Concrete mixing (batch mix/ manual)? In case of manual mixing, whether mixing is by weight or by volume of ingredients? (Volumetric mixing not permitted).	Volumetric manual mixing has been used.
15	Whether Mixer/Vibrator as specified is available at site with adequate means to run them during concreting?	NA
16	Whether Surface of reinforcement is clean and free from rust?	No
17	Whether potable water is used for construction ?	YES
18	Whether proper water / cement ratio has been ensured?	NA
19	Whether the concrete being cured adequately as per requirements?	YES
20	Whether bricks are well burnt, of rectangular shape, with sharp edges, free from cracks and of correct size?	YES
21	Whether i) Execution of Depth & width of Foundation is as per drawing/ adequate & bottom levelled ii) Plinth height is as per drawing/ adequate iii) Horizontal bands (as applicable) have been provided	Adequate Adequate Partially Provided.
22	Whether quality has been checked by TPQMA/ State agency? If yes, details there of ?	YES, ULB staff has been checked. Found good



23	Quality of work and workmanship, Comments on	Yes, House sites of beneficiaries have been checked randomly. The construction quality
(i)	RCC work (concrete, Reinforcement detailing, cover to reinforcement). Whether Columns are in plumb (check for verticality)	Cover to Reinforcement is sufficient & the columns are in plumb vertically.
(ii)	Masonry (Joint details, verticality). Check adequate RCC bands are provided.	Masonry work is good.
(iii)	Shuttering (Type of material-pucca/ katcha, support spacing)	Steel shuttering are mainly used but somewhere wooden shuttering are also used with steel shuttering.
(iv)	Bar bending and stirrups bending , placement and cover to reinforcement bars.	Adequate
(v)	Plastering	Satisfactory
(vi)	Doors and windows	Satisfactory
(vii)	Seepage, if any	Not found
(viii)	Cracks, if any	NA
(ix)	Honey combing, if any	Honeycomb are observed that in some place
(x)	Any other	Satisfactory
24	Whether provision has been made for Service lines (Electrical, Water Supply, Sanitation etc.)	Yes
25	Whether floor slope (especially) in bath, WC, kitchen, terrace and balcony etc. are proper?	Yes
26	Whether adequate plinth height (above the general ground level) is provided to the ground floor of the building to avoid possibility of rainwater and reptiles in the building.	Yes
27	Whether dampness/leakages noticed? If yes, state location and probable reasons.	No dampness found
28	Whether remedial measures are undertaken by IA/ ULB to stop Dampness & Leakages if any?	
29	Whether disaster resistant features have been incorporated?	The construction is being carried by beneficiaries and quality of construction
30	Any other comments	The inspected sites are in order and construction is being carried out by beneficiaries themselves . The construction
31	Report on Overall assessment of quality	On inspection of houses under ULB , We found that some houses are plinth level , some houses are lintel level while some houses are roof level. Quality of concrete in beam colour and slab is found satisfactory. Proper cover is maintain in RCC work Masonary works is good.

**C. PROGRESS - HOUSING COMPONENTS**

1	Numbers of houses sanctioned in the Project	290	6	0
2	Numbers of houses Completed	123	0	0
3	Numbers of houses in progress	167	6	0
4	Stages of Progress:			
i)	Foundation level (Nos.)	0	6	0
ii)	Plinth level (Nos.)	0	0	0
iii)	Lintel level (Nos.)	0	0	0
iv)	Roof level (Nos.)	63	0	0
v)	Finishing level (Nos.)	104	0	0
5	Numbers of houses not started	0	0	0
6	Reason for non-starter of houses, if any	Surrendred		
7	Numbers of houses occupied by the Beneficiaries	0	0	0
8	Reasons for Non-occupation of houses (If any)- specific reasons to be given	Under construction		
9	Size of Unit (Carpet Area)	Sanctioned	As per Implementation	
		Proposed avg. 30 sq.m	Most of the houses are 30 sqm	
10	Overall physical progress of Housing (in %)	Approx ..%		
11	Whether the progress of houses has been ensured through geo-tagged photographs at various construction level?	Yes		
12	Whether DBT of fund to individual beneficiary is ensured in the project?	Yes		
13	Whether the building plan(s) conform to NBC norms?	Yes		
14	Whether authenticated building plans and revisions if any, are available?	Yes		
15	Deviation, if any	No		
16	Any other comment	No		
D. CIVIC INFRASTRUCTURE				
1	Whether provision of Civic infrastructure components (i.e. water supply, Sewerage, Drainage, Roads, SWM etc.) has been ensured?	Yes		



2	Whether the Existing/proposed infrastructure components are independent and/or integrated with city level infrastructure?	NA
3	Any other comments	No

E. SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE

1	Whether provision of Social Amenities (i.e. School, Anganwadi, Health centre, Community centre, Livelihood centre etc.) has been ensured?	Yes
2	Any other comments	No

F. FINANCIAL PROGRESS & FUND UTILIZATION (Rs. in Lakhs)

1	Sanctioned fund for entire project.	1102.00	15.00	0.00
2	Amount of funds received up to date	290.00	6.00	0.00
3	Up-to date Expenditure	290.00	6.00	0.00
4	Amount of funds Utilized (out of received)	290.00	6.00	0.00
5	Amount of Expenditure by ULB	Nil	Nil	0.00
6	Amount of Expenditure by Beneficiaries			
7	Balance funds Expected/due from stakeholders	290.00	6.00	0.00

G. COST VARIATION and TIME-OVERRUNS**Cost variation:**

1	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for BLC works
---	--	------------------------------

Time Overruns:

1	Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for BLC works
---	--	------------------------------

H. REMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRESS AND QUALITY**Undertaken by Implementation agency to improve the:**

1	Physical Progress	54%	24%
2	Financial Progress	Timely payment of installments must be assured to beneficiaries.	
3	Quality management	Improve quality of construction.	
4	Comments and suggestions of TPQMA on above	Regular visit in ward must be assured. It must be checked that good quality of material must be used in construction.	

I. COURT CASES AND LITIGATIONS

1	(To be specified)	Nil
---	-------------------	-----



J. OTHERS		
1	Any innovative/ cost effective/ green technology has been used	NO
2	Feedback of beneficiaries regarding provision of Physical & Social infrastructure:	Satisfactory
3	Reasons for delay in completion of housing and Infrastructure, if any:	Work in progress
4	Any specific observation by the beneficiary	No
5	Overall observation on the project (with adequate photographs covering project to a large extent including quality issues as applicable) 1. Critical observations 2. Action suggested by TPQMA to SLNA and IA	Progress of the project is satisfactory
		Nil
6	Action taken report on previous report: i. Observations of TPQMA ii Action suggested by TPQMA iii Action taken by Beneficiaries/ IA (Implementation Agency) iv Whether TPQMA is satisfied with the action taken	Satisfactory
7	TPQMA's Overview of the Project (in 400-500 words) in a separate sheet	The overall project progress is good, and management need to pay attention on the timely payment of 2nd stage. the construction is being carried by beneficiaries themselves and guidance on construction techniques is being provided by PMC. Overall work is satisfactory.



Innovative Cadd Center
Innovative CADD Centre Indore,

Authorised Signatory

ULB NAME- MEHDAWAL

SR	Benf. Name	Father/Husband Name
1	ABDUL BAKI	ENUL HAK
2	ABDUL KALAM	LEEYAKAT ALI
3	ABDUL KHALIK	HABIB AHMAD
4	Abdul Muttalib	ABDUL RAHMAN
5	ABDUL SAHEED	VADULLAH
6	AHAMAD HUSEN	HASAN RAZT
7	AJEEJUN NISHA	SARFUDDIN
8	AKHTARUN NISHA	ABUBAKR
9	ALAMGEER	MOHD. HASAN
10	ALIMUNNISHA	MD TAHIR
11	ANNU	BHAKALU
12	anvar ali	mohd bashir
13	ASALAM	UMED ALI
14	ASGAR ALI	ASHIQ ALI
15	BECHAN	ORI
16	BHARAT PRASAD	BAHGWAN DAS
17	BINDU	FOOL CHAND
18	Durgawati	SEVAK
19	FATIMA KHATOON	MAHMOOD
20	GANESH KUMAR	BHAGELU
21	GAYATRI DEVI	ASHOK KUMAR
22	GUDIYA	SANTOSH KUMAR
23	GULAM MOHAMMAD	FAKIRU
24	gyanmati	ori
25	HAIDAR ALI	HAFIJULLAHA
26	HARI NARAYAN	LATE JOKHAN
27	HASIBUNNISHA	MUSTAK AHMAD
28	HASRATUN NISHA	ABDUL MAZID
29	KAPURA DEVI	DOODHNATH
30	khadi sahani	jhinku
31	LALACHAND	RAMHARAKH
32	MANOJ	gabbulal
33	MERZUN	MOH AKHLAK
34	MOHD TAHIR	DEEN MOHAMMAD

35	NISAR AHMAD	NURALI
36	NIYAJ AHMAD	NIJAMUDDIN
37	NOOR JAHAN KHATOON	NOOR AHMAD
38	OMPRAKASH	SHRI BHAGWATI
39	PHOOL KUMARI	SHRI BABURAM SAHNI
40	RAMBHAWATI	RAJU
41	RAMGANESH	GHSIYAWAN
42	RAMJAN ALI	MOIZZAM
43	RAMVRIKSH	MAHESH
44	SABRUNNISHA	GAFFAR ALI
45	Sadare Aalam	MO TASHIM
46	SADARUDDIN	MAINUDDIN
47	SADIK ALI	MOHAMMAD FAJEER
48	SAJIDA KHATOON	SHAHID HUSAN
49	SAJIDA KHATOON	JAMIL AHMAD
50	SALMA KHATOON	MD MOHIB
51	SAMSAD	JAMALUDDIEN
52	SANJAY	POOJAN
53	SANTOLA	RAM SURAT
54	SAVITRI	PRAHLAD SAHANI
55	SAVIYA KHATOON	SERAJ AALAM

Innovative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory



